INTERNATIONAL OLYMPIC COMMITTEE

IOC DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION

DECISION

REGARDING TAIMURAZ TIGIYEV BORN ON 15 JANUARY 1982, KAZAKHSTAN, ATHLETE, WRESTLING

(Rule 59.2.1 of the Olympic Charter)

Pursuant to the Olympic Charter and, in particular, Rule 59.2.1 thereof, and pursuant to the IOC Anti-Doping Rules applicable to the Games of the XXIX Olympiad in Beijing in 2008 (the "Rules") and, in particular but without limitation, Articles 2, 5.1, 7.3.3, 8 and 9 thereof:

I. FACTS

- 1. Taimuraz TIGIYEV (hereinafter the "**Athlete**"), participated in the Games of the XXIX Olympiad in Beijing in 2008 (the "**2008 Olympic Games**").
- 2. On 21 August 2008, the Athlete competed in the Men's 84-96 kg freestyle wrestling event (1/8 Final, 1/4 Final, SemiFinal and Final) in which he ranked 2nd and for which he was awarded a silver medal.
- 3. On the same day, the Athlete was requested to provide a urine sample for a doping control. Such sample was identified with the number 1848076.
- 4. The A-Sample 1848076 was analysed during the 2008 Olympic Games by the WADA-accredited Laboratory in Beijing. Such analysis did not result in an adverse analytical finding at that time.
- 5. After the conclusion of the 2008 Olympic Games, all the samples collected upon the occasion of the 2008 Olympic Games were transferred to the WADA-accredited "Laboratoire suisse d'analyse du dopage" in Lausanne, Switzerland ("the **Laboratory**") for long-term storage.
- 6. The IOC decided to perform further analyses on samples collected during the 2008 Olympic Games. These additional analyses were notably performed with improved analytical methods using more sensitive equipment and/or searching for new metabolites in order to possibly detect Prohibited Substances which were not identified by the analysis performed at the time of the 2008 Olympic Games.
- 7. In accordance with the provisions of the applicable International Standards for Laboratories (the "**ISL**"), the IOC decided that the reanalysis process would be conducted as follows:
 - An initial analysis was to be conducted on the remains of the A-samples
 - If such initial analysis resulted in the indication of the potential presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers ("Presumptive Adverse Analytical Finding" - PAAF), the full confirmation analysis process (double confirmation) was to be conducted on the B-Sample, which would be split for the occasion into a B1- and a B2 Sample (becoming thus the equivalent of a A- and B-Sample).
- 8. The decision to proceed based on split B-samples was made in principle for all the reanalysis.

- 9. This choice was made in view of the fact that during the transfer of the samples from the Beijing laboratory to the Laboratory, the A-Samples were not individually resealed nor transported in sealed containers.
- 10. At that time, resealing of A-Samples (or transport in sealed containers) was not a requirement pursuant to the applicable ISL (2008).
- 11. However, it was felt that the option to rely on the B-Sample constituted an additional precaution securing the strength and reliability of the analytical process.
- 12. A similar precautious approach was adopted with regard to the implementation of the analytical process and notably of its first phase (opening and splitting of the B-Sample into a B1- and B2-Sample, sealing of the B2-Sample and analysis of the B1-Sample).
- 13. Pursuant to the ISL, the presence of the Athlete is not a requirement for such first phase of the B-Sample analysis.
- 14. The IOC nevertheless decided, once again as a matter of principle, that, whenever this was practically possible, the Athlete would be offered the opportunity to attend the above described first phase of the B-sample procedure.
- 15. The remains of the A-Sample of the Athlete were subject to initial analysis. Such analysis resulted in a Presumptive Adverse Analytical Finding ("PAAF") as it indicated the potential presence of a Prohibited Substance: dehydrochlormethyltestosterone (turinabol).
- 16. On 12 July 2016, the Athlete through his NOC was informed of the PAAF and of the possibility to attend the opening and splitting of the B-Sample into a B1- and B2-Sample, the sealing of the B2-Sample and the analysis of the B1-Sample.
- 17. On 14 July 2016, the Athlete sent his completed PAAF Notification Appendix to the IOC through his NOC, in which he indicated that he would not attend the opening, splitting of the B-Sample, the sealing of the B2-Sample and the analysis of the B1-Sample. He indicated that he would be represented by Mr Alimzhan Akayev on this occasion.
- 18. In his PAAF Notification Appendix, the Athlete wrote the following comment:
 - "Currently, I'm retired from sport and will not participate in any future competitions."
- 19. On 15 July 2016, the IOC informed the Athlete, through his NOC, that the opening, splitting of the B-Sample, the sealing of the B2-Sample and the analysis of the B-Sample was scheduled to take place on 22 July 2016 at the Laboratory.
- 20. The opening and splitting of the B-Sample, the sealing of the B2-Sample occurred on 22 July 2016 at the Laboratory.
- 21. The Athlete did not attend the opening and splitting of the B-Sample and was represented on this occasion by Mr Akayev.
- 22. As provided in the ISL, the opening and splitting was attended by an independent witness.
- 23. The results of the B1-Sample analysis were reported on 28 July 2016. These results establish the presence of the metabolites of a Prohibited Substance, namely dehydrochlormethyltestosterone (turinabol).

- 24. Such results constitute an Adverse Analytical Findings. They were reported to the IOC in accordance with article 7.2.1 of the Rules.
- 25. Further to the verifications set forth in Art. 7.2.2 of the Rules and in application of Art. 7.2.3 of the Rules, the IOC President, Mr Thomas Bach, was informed of the existence of the AAF and the essential details available concerning the case.
- 26. Pursuant to Art. 7.2.4 of the Rules, the IOC President set up a Disciplinary Commission, consisting in this case of:
 - Mr Denis Oswald (Chairman, Switzerland), who is a member of the IOC Legal Affairs Commission:
 - Mrs Gunilla Lindberg (Sweden)
 - Mr Ugur Erdener (Turkey)
- 27. On 29 July 2016, the IOC notified the Athlete through his NOC of the above-mentioned AAF and of the institution of disciplinary proceedings to be conducted by the Disciplinary Commission. The IOC also informed the Athlete of his right to request and attend the opening of the B2-Sample and its analysis, either in person and/or through a representative, which was scheduled to take place on 8 or 9 August 2016. The Athlete was finally informed of his right to request a copy of the laboratory documentation package.
- 28. On 7 August 2016, the Athlete through his NOC provided the IOC with his completed AAF Notification Appendix in which he indicated that he did not accept the Adverse Analytical Finding. He however did not request the opening and analysis of the B2-Sample and indicated that if conducted, he would not attend the process, neither personally nor through a representative. He further did not request a copy of the laboratory documentation package.
- 29. On 10 August 2016, the IOC informed the Athlete that the opening of the B2-Sample would still be carried out and this would take place on 15 August 2016 at the Laboratory followed by the analysis of the sample.
- 30. As planned the opening of the B2-Sample occurred on 15 August 2016 in the presence of an independent witness followed by the analysis.
- 31. The results of the B2-Sample analysis were reported to the IOC on 19 August 2016. They confirmed the presence in the B2-Sample of the metabolites of a Prohibited Substance, namely dehydrochlormethyltestosterone (turinabol).
- 32. On 22 August 2016, the IOC communicated to the Athlete the results of the B2-Sample analysis. The Athlete was invited to indicate whether he accepted the Adverse Analytical Finding, whether he would attend the hearing of the Disciplinary Commission and/or he would submit a defence in writing. The Athlete was also informed of his right to request a copy of the laboratory documentation package.
- 33. On 30 August 2016, the IOC provided the Athlete with a copy of the B1-Sample laboratory documentation package and requested him to complete and return the Disciplinary Commission Form.
- 34. On 31 August 2016, the Athlete sent to the IOC through his NOC his completed Disciplinary Commission Form in which he indicated that he did not accept the Adverse Analytical Finding. He did not request a copy of the B2-Sample laboratory documentation package. He also indicated that he would not attend the hearing of the Disciplinary

Commission, neither personally nor through a representative, and that he would not present a defence in writing.

- 35. In his Disciplinary Commission Form, the Athlete wrote the following comment:
 - "I have no comments. I do not agree with the results. I was tested many times after OG in Beijing and I never had positive tests."
- 36. On 6 September 2016, the IOC acknowledged receipt of the completed Disciplinary Commission Form and offered once the possibility to the Athlete to submit a defence within a deadline expiring on 20 September 2016.
- 37. On 4 October 2016, the IOC reminded the Athlete of the possibility to present a written defence to the Disciplinary Commission and was given a deadline until 12 October 2016 to file such defence.
- 38. On the same day, the NOC and the IF were invited to file written observations by 12 October 2016.
- 39. Neither the Athlete, nor the NOC nor the IF replied.

II. APPLICABLE RULES

- 40. These proceedings are conducted in application of the Rules.
- 41. Art. 2.1 of the Rules provides as follows:
 - "The presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers in an Athlete's bodily Specimen.
 - 2.1.1 It is each Athlete's personal duty to ensure that no Prohibited Substance enters his or her body. Athletes are responsible for any Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers found to be present in their bodily Specimens. Accordingly, it is not necessary that intent, fault, negligence or knowing Use on the Athlete's part be demonstrated in order to establish an anti-doping violation under Article 2.1.
 - 2.1.2 Excepting those substances for which a quantitative reporting threshold is specifically identified in the Prohibited List, the detected presence of any quantity of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers in an Athlete's Sample shall constitute an anti-doping rule violation.
 - 2.1.3 As an exception to the general rule of Article 2.1, the Prohibited List may establish special criteria for the evaluation of Prohibited Substances that can also be produced endogenously."
- 42. Art. 2.2 of the Rules provides as follows:
 - "Use or Attempted Use of a Prohibited Substance or a Prohibited Method
 - 2.2.1 The success or failure of the Use of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method is not material. It is sufficient that the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method was Used or Attempted to be used for an anti-doping rule violation to be committed."
- 43. Art. 5.1 of the Rules provides as follows:

"The IOC is responsible for Doping Control during the Period of the Olympic Games. The IOC is entitled to delegate all or part of its responsibility for Doping Control to one or several other organisations.

The Period of the Olympic Games, or In-Competition Period, is defined as "the period commencing on the date of the opening of the Olympic village for the Olympic Games, namely, 27 July 2008 up until and including the day of the closing ceremony of the Olympic Games, namely, 24 August 2008.

All Athletes participating at the Olympic Games shall be subject, during the Period of the Olympic Games, to Doping Control initiated by the IOC at any time or place, with No Advance Notice. Such Doping Control may include Testing for all Prohibited Substances and all Prohibited Methods referred to in the Prohibited List.

The IOC shall have the right to conduct or cause to conduct Doping Control during the Period of the Olympic Games, and is responsible for the subsequent handling of such cases."

44. Art. 7.3.3 of the Rules provides as follows:

"Notice to an Athlete or other Person who has been accredited pursuant to the request of the NOC, may be accomplished by delivery of the notice to the NOC. Notification to the Chef de Mission or the President or the General Secretary of the NOC of the Athlete or other Person shall be deemed to be a delivery of notice to the NOC."

45. Art. 8.1 of the Rules provides as follows:

"A violation of these Rules in connection with Doping Control automatically leads to Disqualification of the Athlete with all other consequences, including forfeiture of any medals, points and prizes."

46. Art. 9.1 of the Rules provides as follows:

"An Anti-Doping Rule violation occurring during or in connection with the Olympic Games may lead to Disqualification of all of the Athlete's results obtained in the Olympic Games with all consequences, including forfeiture of all medals, points and prizes, except as provided in Article 9.1.1."

47. Art. 9.1.1 of the Rules provides as follows:

"If the Athlete establishes that he or she bears No Fault or Negligence for the violation, the Athlete's results in the other Competition shall not be Disqualified unless the Athlete's results in Competitions other than the Competition in which the anti-doping rule violation occurred were likely to have been affected by the Athlete's anti-doping rule violation".

48. Art. 9.3 of the Rules provides as follows:

"The management of anti-doping rule violations and the conduct of additional hearings as a consequence of hearings and decisions of the IOC, including with regard to the imposition of sanctions over and above those relating to the Olympic Games, shall be managed by the relevant International Federation".

III. <u>DISCUSSION</u>

- 49. The presence of metabolites of a Prohibited Substance, i.e. dehydrochlormethyltestosterone (turinabol), has been established in 2016 in the sample 1848076 that the Athlete provided on 21 August 2008, upon the occasion of the 2008 Olympic Games.
- 50. The substance detected in the Athlete's sample is an anabolic steroid. It is listed in the WADA 2008 Prohibited List and in all subsequent lists.
- 51. The Disciplinary Commission is satisfied that the sample which has been re-analysed by the Laboratory is unequivocally linked to the Athlete and that no relevant departure from the WADA International Standards occurred.
- 52. Whilst the Athlete states that he does not accept the analytical results, he does not bring forth any element, which could put the validity of the results in question. The fact that the Athlete may have been tested on the occasion of further tests is obviously not relevant.
- 53. The Disciplinary Commission thus finds that the Athlete has in any event committed an anti-doping rule violation pursuant to Art. 2.1 of the Rules consisting in the presence of a Prohibited Substance in his body.
- 54. In addition, the Disciplinary Commission finds that an anti-doping rule violation would also be established if the circumstances are considered in the perspective of art. 2.2 of the Rules.
- 55. The Disciplinary Commission observes that the nature of the substance which was found in the Athlete's sample is consistent with intentional use of a Prohibited Substance specifically ingested to deliberately improve performance. The fact that the metabolite of a doping substance, which is a "classical" doping substance was found, supports this consideration.
- 56. Pursuant to the Rules, the consequences of an anti-doping rule violation are limited to consequences in connection with the 2008 Olympic Games. They are set forth in Art. 8 and 9 of the Rules and are the following.
- 57. In application of Art. 8.1 and/or Art. 9 of the Rules, the results achieved by the Athlete at the Men's 84-96 kg freestyle wrestling event in which he ranked 2nd during the 2008 Olympic Games, shall be annulled, with all resulting consquences (notably withdrawal of medal, diploma, pin etc.).
- 58. In application of Art. 9.3 of the Rules, the further management of the consequences of the anti-doping rule violations and in particular the imposition of sanctions over and above those related to the Olympic Games 2008 shall be conducted by the United World Wrestling ("**UWW**").

* * * * *

CONSIDERING the above, pursuant to the Olympic Charter and, in particular, Rule 59.2.1 thereof, and pursuant to the IOC Anti-Doping Rules applicable to the Games of the XXIX Olympiad in Beijing in 2008 and, in particular, 2, 5.1, 7.3.3, 8 and 9 thereof.

THE DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION OF THE INTERNATIONAL OLYMPIC COMMITTEE DECIDES

- I. The Athlete, Taimuraz TIGIYEV:
 - (i) is found to have committed an anti-doping rule violation pursuant to the IOC Anti-Doping Rules applicable to the Games of the XXIX Olympiad in Beijing in 2008 (presence and/or use, of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers in an athlete's bodily specimen),
 - (ii) is disqualified from the Men's 84-96 kg freestyle wrestling event in which he participated upon the occasion of the Olympic Games Beijing 2008, and
 - (iii) has the medal, the medallist pin and the diploma obtained in the Men's 84-96 kg freestyle wrestling event withdrawn and is ordered to return the same.
- II. The UWW is requested to modify the results of the above-mentioned event accordingly and to consider any further action within its own competence.
- III. The National Olympic Committee of the Republic of Kazakhstan shall ensure full implementation of this decision.
- IV. The National Olympic Committee of the Republic of Kazakhstan shall notably secure the return to the IOC, as soon as possible, of the medal, the medallist pin and the diploma awarded in connection with the Men's 84-96 kg freestyle wrestling event.
- V. This decision enters into force immediately.

Lausanne, 19 October 2016

In the name of the IOC Disciplinary Commission

Denis Oswald, Chairman

Ugur Erdener Gunilla Lindberg

fucle di ley